IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.11211 OF 2006

DATE OF DECISION: 05-02-2007

Sh.Karambir etc.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Haryana **.....RESPONDENT**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R S MADAN

PRESENT: Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Dr.Anmol Rattan Sidhu,Additional Advocate General, Haryana with
Mr.Deepak Jindal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioners, who are working as Clerk in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Karnal had appeared for type test. Out of the 15 candidates, 10 candidates, who are the petitioners before us cleared the type test whereas 5 other candidates failed. Subsequently, the Deputy Commissioner vide Annexure P3 has again asked the candidates to appear afresh for Hindi/English type test.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that once the petitioners had appeared in the test in pursuance of the order Annexure P1 and had also been declared pass, therefore, there is no reason as to why a second test should be taken from the petitioners.

Reply has been filed on behalf of the Deputy Commissioner. It has been averred that answering respondents are fully competent to call for a fresh test in order to judge the competency of the petitioners.

We are satisfied that the Deputy Commissioner in such like circumstances can always judge the efficiency of an employee regarding his typing abilities. The periodical test can always be held.

In view of the above, there is no infirmity in the order Annexure P4 asking the petitioners to re-appear in the type test.

Dismissed.

(ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA)
JUDGE

(R S MADAN)
JUDGE

February 05, 2007
jt